| | | | | 6/1/8 |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION | | | REPORT FOR (month June 2000 | n/year) |
| | | | DATE 6/15/00 | |
| FALSE PROCEED SIGNAL REPORT | | | | |
| All railroads subject to Regulations of the Federal Railroad Administration shall submit a false signal report, original only, to the Federal Railroad Administration within fifteen days after a false proceed occurs. If no false proceed occurs during any calendar month, a report showing "No Failures" must be filed within ten days after the end of the month. | | | REPORTING CARRIER (railroad & region or division) National Railroad Passenger Corp. 30th Street Station Third Floor - South Tower Box 41 | |
| MAIL TO | | | Philadelphia, PA 19104 REPORTING OFFICER (signature/title) | |
| Mr. David Myers | | | REPORTING OFFICER | (signatyre/title) |
| Regional Administrator Federal Railroad Administration International Plaza Two - Suite 550 Philadelphia, PA 19133 | | | Chief Engineer Communications and Signals | |
| A failure should not be counted more than one time in items 1, 2, 3 and 4; the failure should be classified under the basic system or appliance of which it forms an essential part. E.g.; assume grounds cause a block signal to indicate a false proceed causing corresponding indications of a cab signal system on each train approaching this point, such failures should be included in item 1, Block Systems. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system, device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. | | The following abbreviations may be used in the report. RA - Automatic | | |
| TYPE OF SYSTEM | DATE | LOCOMOTIVE NUMBER | DEVICE THAT FAILED | LOCATION (city and state) |
| 1. BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB TC | | | | |
| 2. INTERLOCKING ☐ AUTOMATIC ☐ REMOTE ☑ MANUAL | 6/8/00 | None Involved | 64L Signal at 200 (DI) | Philadelphia, PA |
| 3. AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ☐ ATS ☐ ATC ☐ ACS | | | | |
| 4. OTHER (specify) | | | | |
| he looked over and observed operator at Zoo call for signal displaying a bottom marker I contact of the 64LBHB relay | No. 562 reported signal 64L distal 64L to be clear ight. Further in had broken an | splaying a Stop ared. Upon in ovestigation red d the carbon o | p and Proceed westigation, it we vealed that the contact inside o | ras found that signal 64L was bolt holding the No. 3 front |

(If more space is required, continue on reverse)

to be applied to the 64LBN2L circuit, thereby illuminating the 64L marker light. The relay was removed from service, a new relay installed, circuitry tested, and the signal system returned to service. Further

testing with the vendor will take place to determine the cause of the bolt failure.

JUN 2 0 2000