OMB No. 01-R-4028 DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REPORT FOR (month/year) FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION September 1995 FALSE PROCEED SIGNAL REPORT DATE September 21, 1995 All railroads subject to Regulations of the Federal Railroad Administration shall submit a false proceed signal report, original only, to the Federal Railroad Administration within five days after a false proceed occurs. If no false proceed occurs during any calendar month, a report showing "No Fallures" must be filed within ten days after the end of the month. REPORTING CARRIER (reffront & region or divinion) The Atchison Topeka Copies of this form will be furnished upon request to the Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration, Office of Safety, Washington, D.C. 20590 and Santa Fe Railway Company WAIL TO Director of Railroad Safety Federal Railroad Administration 1100 Main Street REPORTING OFFICER (signature/title) Kansas City, MO 64105 Director Signal Systems

A failure should not be counted more than one time in Items 1, 2, 3, and 4; the failure should be classified under the hasic system or appliance of which it forms an easential part. E.g.; assume grounds cause a block signal to indicate a false proceed causing corresponding indications of a cab signal ayatem on each train approaching this point, such failures should be included in item 1, Block Systems.

A false proceed failure is a failure of a system, device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended.

The following abbreviations may be used in the report.

A-Autometic AB-Automatic block ACS-Automatic cub signal APB-Absolute permissive block ATC-Automatic train control ATS-Automatic truin stop CL-Culor light CPL-Color position light

E-Electric

EM-Electromechanical EP-Electropneumatic FP-Palar proceed MH-Manual block M-Mechanical P-Pneumatic PL-Position light SA-Semiautomatic TC-Traffic control

TYPE OF SYSTEM	DATE	LOCOMOTIVE NUMBER	DEVICE THAT FAILED	LOCATION (city and state)
BLOCK SYSTEMS  AB  APB  TC  AUTO-	09-11-95	811	unknown	Colmor, NM
REMOTE MANUAL				
ATS ATC ACS			·	
OTHER (specify)				

NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN

Approximately 6:10 PM, September 11, 1995 Amtrak engineer reported signal 7102 at the west switch of Colmor red and approach signal 7112 was green for his train. Signal Department was notified and made operation test of the signal system in question, with no exceptions taken. The control relay for signal 7112 was replaced (22HDR) as a precautionary measure. signal control relay (22HDR) has been sent to our signal repair shop for more extensive tests and inspection.

## FALSE PROCEED INCIDENT INFORMATION

1.	Date of Incident: September 11, 1995
2.	Time of Incident: Approximately 6:10PM
3.	Location: MP 712.6 - Raton Subdivision
4.	Number of Trains Each Day:6
5.	Train & Engine Number: <u>A-41-10 - Engine 811</u>
5A.	Type of Train (PSGR or FRT): Passenger
6.	Direction:Eastbound
7.	If Freight Train, number of cars N/A
8.	How Many Tons: N/A
9.	How Many Loads and Empties: N/A
10.	Hazardous Material:N/A
11.	Type and Number of Haz. Mat. Cars: N/A
12.	Signal Number: 7122
12. 13.	Signal Number: 7122  Device That Failed: Unable to determine cause for reported
	Signal Number: 7122
13.	Signal Number: 7122  Device That Failed: Unable to determine cause for reported incident.
13. 14.	Signal Number: 7122  Device That Failed: Unable to determine cause for reported incident.  When Last Inspected: August 25, 1995
13. 14. 15.	Device That Failed: Unable to determine cause for reported incident.  When Last Inspected: August 25, 1995  Who Responded And Conducted Test:  Carrier Action Taken: Tested signal system, unable to
<ul><li>13.</li><li>14.</li><li>15.</li><li>16.</li></ul>	Device That Failed: Unable to determine cause for reported incident.  When Last Inspected: August 25, 1995  Who Responded And Conducted Test:  Carrier Action Taken: Tested signal system, unable to duplicate reported incident.
<ul><li>13.</li><li>14.</li><li>15.</li><li>16.</li></ul>	Device That Failed: Unable to determine cause for reported incident.  When Last Inspected: August 25, 1995  Who Responded And Conducted Test:  Carrier Action Taken: Tested signal system. unable to duplicate reported incident.  Equipment Installed Date: February 22, 1984
13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18.	Device That Failed: Unable to determine cause for reported incident.  When Last Inspected: August 25, 1995  Who Responded And Conducted Test:  Carrier Action Taken: Tested signal system. unable to duplicate reported incident.  Equipment Installed Date: February 22, 1984  Equipment Last Tested: T-2 signal (5-3-95) DP-14 Relay(6-14-95)