DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REPORT FOR (month/year) FEDERAL RAIL ROAD ADMINISTRATION March 1995 (2) FALSE PROCEED SIGNAL REPORT DATE March 21, 1995 All railroads subject to Regulations of the Federal Railroad Administration shall submit a false proceed signal report, original only, to the Federal Railroad Administration within five days after a false proceed occurs. If no false proceed occurs during any calendar month, a report showing "No Fallures" must be filed within ten days after the end of the month. REPORTING CARRIER (railroad & region or divinion) The Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Copies of this form will be furnished upon request to the Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration, Office of Safety, Washington, D.C. 20590 Company MAIL TO Director of Railroad Safety Federal Railroad Administration 1807 Federal Building REPORTING OFFICER (signature/title) 911 Walnut Street Kansas City, Missouri 64106 Director Signal Systems

A failure should not be counted more than one time in items 1, 2, 3, and 4; the failure should be classified under the basic system or appliance of which it forms an essential part. E.g.; assume grounds cause a block signal to indicate a false proceed causing corresponding indications of a cab signal system on each train approaching this point, such failures should be included in item 1, Block Systems.

A false proceed fullure is a failure of u system, device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended.

The following abbreviations may be used in the report.

A-Automatic
AB-Automatic block
ACS-Automatic cob signal
APB-Absolute permissive block
ATC-Automatic train control
ATS-Automatic train stop
CL-Culor light
CPL-Color position light

E-Electric

EM-Electromechanical
EP-Electropneumatic
FP-False proceed
k MB-Munual block
M-Mechanical
P-Pneumatic
PL-Position light
SA-Semioutomatic
TC-Traffic control

TYPE OF SYSTEM	DATE	LOCOMOTIVE NUMBER	DEVICE THAT FAILED	LOCATION (city and state)
BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB X TC	03-14-95	5156	None	Bandini, CA
2 INTERLOCKING MATIC REMOTE MANUAL			. *	
ATS ATC ACS				
OTHER (specify)				

NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN

Approximately 8:45AM, March 14, 1995, crew on the M-BALA1-12 reported their train was sitting on North track waiting for Eastbound train that was crossing over from North track to South track and observed the Westbound control signal (4L) was changing from red to yellow and red to white, while the Eastbound train was passing under the signal bridge where the (4L) signal is mounted. Signal Department was notified and made inspection and operational test of signal system in question. All signal test concluded signal system operating properly. The signal supervisor interviewed the conductor on the M-BALA1-13 train, conductor stated the signal aspects appeared to be more like a reflection or phantom signal condition then a true signal aspect. As a temporary preventative measure the clear outer signal lenses were removed from both Westbound signals until non-reflective outer lenses are received from the supplier. This is being reported as a phantom signal incident.

FALSE PROCEED INCIDENT INFORMATION

1.	Date of Incident: <u>March 14, 1995</u>
2.	Time of Incident: <u>Approximately 8:45AM</u>
3.	Location: MP 149.8 (Bandini) San Bernardino Subdivision
4.	Number of Trains Each Day: 75
5.	Train & Engine Number: <u>M-BALA1-13 - Engine 5156</u>
5 A .	Type of Train (PSGR or FRT): Freight
6.	Direction:Westbound
7.	If Freight Train, number of cars 33
8.	How Many Tons:1751
9.	How Many Loads and Empties: 17 loads - 16 empties
10.	Hazardous Material: <u>Yes</u>
11.	Type and Number of Haz. Mat. Cars: 1 load - poisonous
12.	Signal Number: 4L
12. 13.	Signal Number: 4L Device That Failed: Possible phantom signal condition.
13.	Device That Failed: Possible phantom signal condition.
13. 14.	Device That Failed: <u>Possible phantom signal condition</u> . When Last Inspected: <u>February 10, 1995</u> Who Responded And Conducted Test: <u>T. Velasquez-D. Cockrell-S. Strode</u> Carrier Action Taken: Tested signal system - removed outer
13. 14. 15.	Device That Failed: <u>Possible phantom signal condition</u> . When Last Inspected: <u>February 10, 1995</u> Who Responded And Conducted Test: <u>T. Velasquez-D. Cockrell-S. Strode</u> Carrier Action Taken: <u>Tested signal system - removed outer clear lens to eliminate potential phantom condition. Will</u>
13. 14. 15.	Device That Failed: <u>Possible phantom signal condition</u> . When Last Inspected: <u>February 10, 1995</u> Who Responded And Conducted Test: <u>T. Velasquez-D. Cockrell-S. Strode</u> Carrier Action Taken: Tested signal system - removed outer
13. 14. 15.	Device That Failed: Possible phantom signal condition. When Last Inspected: February 10, 1995 Who Responded And Conducted Test: T. Velasquez-D. Cockrell-S. Strode Carrier Action Taken: Tested signal system - removed outer clear lens to eliminate potential phantom condition. Will install non-reflective outer lens when received from supplier.
13. 14. 15. 16.	Device That Failed: Possible phantom signal condition. When Last Inspected: February 10, 1995 Who Responded And Conducted Test: T. Velasquez-D. Cockrell-S. Strode Carrier Action Taken: Tested signal system - removed outer clear lens to eliminate potential phantom condition. Will install non-reflective outer lens when received from supplier. Equipment Installed Date: January 1970
13. 14. 15. 16.	Device That Failed: Possible phantom signal condition. When Last Inspected: February 10, 1995 Who Responded And Conducted Test: T. Velasquez-D. Cockrell-S. Strode Carrier Action Taken: Tested signal system - removed outer clear lens to eliminate potential phantom condition. Will install non-reflective outer lens when received from supplier. Equipment Installed Date: January 1970 Equipment Last Tested: February 10, 1995