DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION							
ALLEGED FALSE PROCEED SIGNAL REPORT		DATE	01/24/2004-				
MAIL TO		REPORTING CARRIER (railroad & region or division)					
MAIL IU		Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway					
Mr. James Drake Signal & Train Control Specialist Federal Railroad Administration 901 Locust Street - Suite 464 Kansas City, MO 64106			Supervisor of Signals—				
james.drake@fra.dot.gov		REPORTING OFFICER (signature/title)					
A failure should not be counted more than one time in items 1, 2, 3, and 4; the failure should be classified under the basic system or appliance of which it forms an essential part. E.g.: assume grounds cause a block signal to indicate a false proceed causing corresponding indications of a cab signal system on each train approaching this point, such failure should be included in Item 1. Block System A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended.			The following abbreviations may be used in the report				
			A -Automatic		EM	-Electromechanical	
			AB -Automatic block		EP	-Electropneumatic	
			ACS -Automatic cab signal		FP	-False proceed	
			APB -Absolute permissive block		MP	-Manual block	
			ATC -Automatic train control		M	-Mechanical	
			ATS -Automatic train stop		P	-Pneumatic	
			CL -Color light		PL	-Position light	
			CPL- Color position light		SA	-Semiautomatic	
			-Electric		TC	-Traffic Control	
TYPE OF SYSTEM	DATE		COMOTIVE OR	DEVICE THAT	LOCA	ATION (City and State)	
- DI CON GUITTING	01/24/0		AIN NUMBER ACCHF1-23C	FAILED 2E signal		Perea NM	
1 BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB TC	4	1-1		22 018			
2 INTERLOCKING AUTO MATIC							
3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS							
4 OTHER (specify)							
	-				l		

NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN

Train P-LACCHF1-23C passed red absolute control signal 2E at Perea, NM, MilePost 143.0 on the Southwest Division, Gallup Subdivision on 01/24/04 at 1253hrs. MT. After being stopped at the absolute signal for approximately 7 minutes the crew stated that the signal cleared to yellow (approach aspect). The train crew then passed the controlled signal after which the dispatcher notified the crew and instructed them to stop their train. The train crew was tested and interviewed, in which they stated that they had stopped for the 2E signal at 12:46hrs.

The Signal department was called by Terminal Su_i nd responded to the location. Office logs were retrieved from the NOC in Ft. Worth and field logs were obtained from the HLC control unit at Perea. Office and field logs showed the 2E signal at stop during the train passage. Signal Inspector, tested the searchlight signal (H-5) with both relay test set and 6-volt battery. The H-5 relay performed within established standards and was observed to operate smoothly in both tests. Cross and ground tests were performed with no exceptions taken. Signal personnel observed follow-up train moves, and the signal system was found to be operating properly, with no exceptions noted.

A re-enactment was conducted on 01/28/04 with train stopped at same location and approximate same time of day. With the sun at same azimuth, the signal appeared to have a yellow tint on the cover lens. Cover lens was removed and tint disappeared. Yellow tint appeared to be a reflection of surrounding ground cover, which was partially covered with snow. Re-enactment was conducted and observed by Supervisor of Signal Road Foreman Engines

Trainmaster

Signal Maintainer

and Roadmaster

As a temporary correction, the outer non-reflective lens was removed. Permanent corrective action includes removal of the phan-kill unit and installation of an inner lens, which will enhance the signal chromaticity. The required material has been ordered with field delivery expected in the near future.

(If more space is required continue on reverse)

FRA F6180-14