ALLEGED

FALSE PROCEED SIGNAL REPORT MAIL TO Mr. Tom McFarin Signal & Train Control Specialist Special Specialist Signal & Train Control Specialist Special Specialist Special Specialist S	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION									
Mr. Tom McFarin Signal & Train Control Specialist Federal Railroad Administration 1100 Man Steet, Suite 1130 Kanasa City, MO 64105 A failure should not be counted more than one time in items 1, 2, 3, and 4, the failure should be classified under the basic system or appliance of which it forms an essential part. E.g. assume grounds cause a block signal to indicate a false proceed causing corresponding indications of a signal to indicate a false proceed proceeding the statement of the indicate of a false proceed proceeding the indicate of indicate of a false proceed proceeding the indicate of indicate of a false proceed proceeding the indicate of indicate of a false proceed proceeding the indicate of indic	FALSE PROCEED SIGNAL REPORT					DATE	12-20-95			
Mr. Tom McFarlin Signal & Train Control Specialist Federal Reluthoad Administration 1100 Main Street, Suite 1130 Kansas City, MO 64105 A failure should not be counted more than one time in items 1, 2, 3, and 4; the failure should not be counted more than one time in items 1, 2, 3, and 4; the failure should not be classified under the basic system or appliance of which it forms an essential part. Eg.: assume grounds cause a block signal to indicate a false proceed causing corresponding indications of acub signal system on each train approaching this point, such failure should be included in Item 1. Block System A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate o	Mr. Tom McFarlin Signal & Train Control Specialist Federal Railroad Administration 1100 Main Street, Suite 1130					REPORT	TNG CARRIER (rai	lroad & region or div	rision)	
A failure should not be counted more than one time in items 1, 2, 3, and 4; the failure should be classified under the basic system or appliance of which it forms an essential part. E.g.: assume grounds cause a block signal system on each train approaching this point, such failure should be included in Item 1. Block System A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system						BURLINGTON NORTHERN SANTA FE PACIFIC DIVISION				
A failure should not be counted more than one time in items 1, 2, 3, and 4; the failure should be classified under the basic system or appliance of which it forms a resential part. E.g.: assume grounds cause a block signal to indicate a failse proceed causing corresponding indications of a cab signal system on each train approaching this point, such failure should be included in Item 1. Block System A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function to failure should be included in Item 1. Block System 4 false proceed APB - Absolute permissive block MP - Manual block ATC - Automatic cab signal FP - False proceed APB - Absolute permissive block MP - Manual block MTC - Automatic value is signal in FP - False proceed APB - Absolute permissive block MP - Manual block in The Color of the Item 1 failure should be included in Item 1. Block System 4 failure should be included in Item 1 failure shou										
4, the failure should be classified under the basic system or appliance of which if forms a resential part. E.g.; assume grounds cause a block signal to indicate a false proceed causing corresponding indications of a cab signal system on each train approaching this point, such failure should be included in Item 1. Block System A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function at a false proceed. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function at a false proceed. A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function	A fails	re should not be o	avetad mara than	ma tima in itama 1 .) 2 and		·			3.44.3
signal to indicate a false proceed causing corresponding indications of a bignal system on each train approaching this point, such failure should be included in Item 1. Block System A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. APB -Absolute permissive block MP -Manual block ATC -Automatic train control M -Mechanical ATS -Automatic train stop P - Pneumatic CL -Color light PL - Position light CPL- Color position light SA - Semiautomatic TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN DEVICE THAT NUMBER TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN DEVICE THAT NUMBER AB APB TC DEVICE THAT FAILED 1 BLOCK SYSTEMS APB TC SIGNAL SYSTEMS SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE - ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS						The follo	wing abbreviations m	nay be used in the rep	ort	
cab signal system on each train approaching this point, such failure should be included in Item 1. Block System A false proceed failure is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. ACS - Automatic train control ATS - Automatic train stop CL - Color light CPL - Color position	which	it forms an essenti	al part. E.g.: assur	ne grounds cause a b	lock	A -Automatic			EM	Electromechanical
be included in Item 1. Block System ACS -Automatic cas ignal FP -False proceed APB -Absolute permissive block MP -Manual block APB -Absolute permissive block MP -Manual block ATC -Automatic train control M -Mechanical intended. ATC -Automatic train stop P -Pneumatic CL -Color light PL -Position light CPL- Color position light SA -Semiautomatic TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN DEVICE THAT FAILED TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN DEVICE THAT FAILED I BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB TC I INTERLOCKING AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED INA SIGNAL SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE — ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL, SHOWS						AB	AB -Automatic block		EP	-Electropneumatic
A late proceed rating is a failure of a system device or appliance to indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than indicate or function as a strength or function and state or function as intended which results in less restriction to function at signal i.6 and when they got to 2 ist street interlocking reported they had an approach indication to red. Dispatcher logs show that no signal was requested and that no signals at this location indicated clear. TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE – ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS						ACS	S -Automatic cab signal		FP	-False proceed
indicate or function as intended which results in less restriction than intended. ATS -Automatic train stop P -Pneumatic CL -Color light PL -Position light CPL - Color position light SA -Semiautomatic E -Electric TC -Traffic Control TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN DEVICE THAT FAILED 1 BLOCK SYSTEMS DATE NUMBER FAILED 2 INTERLOCKING AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED INA SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED INA SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE - ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS	A C.1.	167	6.1 6	, . ,		APB	APB -Absolute permissive block			-Manual block
CL -Color light PL -Position light CPL- Color position light SA -Semiautomatic E -Electric TC -Traffic Control TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN DEVICE THAT NUMBER FAILED 1 BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB TC 2 INTERLOCKING AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED INA 21st ST. INTERLOCKING SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE - ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS						ATC	ΓC -Automatic train control		M	-Mechanical
CL -Color light PL -Position light SA -Semiautomatic CPL- Color position light E -Electric TC -Traffic Control TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN DEVICE THAT TC -Traffic Control TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN NUMBER FAILED 1 BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB TC 2 INTERLOCKING AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED INA SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE - ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS	intended.					ATS	ATS -Automatic train stop			-Pneumatic
CPL Color position light E - Electric TC - Traffic Control TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN DEVICE THAT FAILED 1 BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB TC 2 INTERLOCKING AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED INA SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE - ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS						CL	•		PL	-Position light
TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN DEVICE THAT LOCATION (City and State) 1 BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB TC 2 INTERLOCKING AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED 1NA 21st ST. INTERLOCKING TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL 1NA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE - ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS							_		SA	- 1
TYPE OF SYSTEM DATE LOCOMOTIVE OR TRAIN DEVICE THAT FAILED 1 BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB TC 2 INTERLOCKING AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED 1NA SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE – ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS						• =				
NUMBER FAILED 1 BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB TC 2 INTERLOCKING AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED INA SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE — ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS						_				
1 BLOCK SYSTEMS AB APB TC 2 INTERLOCKING AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED 1NA SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL 1NA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE - ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS						i	i ·		LOCATION (City and State)	
AB APB TC 2 INTERLOCKING AUTO DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED INA 21st ST. INTERLOCKING TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE — ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS								TIGODO	+	
2 INTERLOCKING X MATIC DEC. 19 1-G83-18 ALLEGED INA SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS		~ _	APB	Тс						
X MATIC 1995 SIGNAL TACOMA, WA 3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE — ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS	2 IN		1		DEC. 19	1-G83-12	-	ALLEGED INA	21st S7	I INTERLOCKING
3 AUTOMATIC SYSTEMS ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE — ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS	x	7 [\neg	MATIC						
ATS ATC ACS 4 OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL 1NA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS		TOMATIC SYST	TEMS	l					+	
A OTHER (specify) NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL 1NA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE — ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS	Г		<u> </u>	ACS						Į.
NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS	4 07		[_	<u> </u>		
TRAIN 1-G83-18 NORTHBOUND ON -1 BETWEEN RUSTON AND 21st STREET INTERLOCKING REPORTED THEY HAD AN APPROACH INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL 1NA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS	· - · ·	TIER (Speelly)							ł	
INDICATION AT SIGNAL 1.6 AND WHEN THEY GOT TO 21st STREET INTERLOCKING, CREW CLAIMS SIGNAL INA WENT FROM APPROACH INDICATION TO RED. DISPATCHER LOGS SHOW THAT NO SIGNAL WAS REQUESTED AND THAT NO SIGNALS AT THIS LOCATION INDICATED CLEAR TESTED SIGNAL HEADS, CABLE, INTERLOCKING, AND INDICATIONS BACK TO OFFICE ALL TESTS COMPLETED WITH NO EXCEPTIONS TAKEN. (WHEN SIGNAL IS POSITIONED TO OTHER THAN THE RED POSITION WITH NO REQUEST FROM THE DISPATCHER, SIGNAL SHOWS	NATURE AND CAUSE OF FAILURE/CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN									
I I										